**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**APR 19 2006** 

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

٧.

CARLOS ENRIQUE BARRERA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-50864

D.C. No. CR-05-00332-FMC-01

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Florence Marie Cooper, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 10, 2006\*\*

Before: KOZINSKI, RYMER and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and the opening brief indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-50864

standard). The Supreme Court's decision in *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), remains binding on this court until the Supreme Court overrules it. *See United States v. Pacheco-Zepada*, 234 F.3d 411, 414 (9th Cir. 2000).

Accordingly, we grant the government's motion for summary affirmance.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

## AFFIRMED.